4th Meeting Tuesday, 3 <sup>rd</sup> November. Town Council Offices. Present: PH; NF; AL	
PH to provide approved minutes of WP meeting (30 <sup>th</sup> September) for next EDP meeting (5 <sup>th</sup> November).	PH
Notes of the SG meetings on 15 <sup>th</sup> October and 27 <sup>th</sup> October were agreed. PH to cut and paste notes of 15 <sup>th</sup> and NF to send notes of 27 <sup>th</sup> , both for next EDP	
meeting.	PH NF
Recreation Need for 2 meetings to be arranged with contacts of Nick Fish:	
with the landowner; with UBL regarding access (and employment sites, see below)	
PH to contact Nick Fish. NF to contact Paul Kinnaird to liaise with Nick Fish to set	PH
up a UBL Zoom meeting in the next 2 weeks. Meeting participants: Paul Kinnaird; Nick Fish; Bill Bloxsom; Phillip Howells; Sports Federation Chair?	NF
PH? to write document on recreation sites considered, SWOT analysis, reasons for eventual choice and how facilities will be delivered, in consultation with Nick Fish.	PH?
Employment Need to meet with UBL (as above) to consult on employment land proposals. AL to revisit letter to consult all employers NF to compile list of addresses for business parks, PH to find out if Town Council staff could help with mailing-and	AL
PH to send by email to all members of Traders' Association. Need for both to be	NF
on Town Council headed paper and under the name of PH.	PH
BB to be asked to produce document outlining employment locations considered, SWOT analysis, reasons for eventual choices in light of: the 12 ha. employment land specified in the Core Strategy; earlier Foxley Tagg work; the meeting with UBL; feedback from letter consulting employers.	PH
LSCA PH to talk to Carly about work BB is doing on the settlement boundary and need	РН
<ul> <li>to produce: <ul> <li>a summary LSCA with reference to the baseline studies, important to support the settlement boundary. Carly also to be asked to advise on which baseline studies need completing or editing.</li> <li>work on broad zoning, already started by her and Liz Harvey. This will be important to inform future NDPs and the new Core Strategy.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Zoom meeting with Carly to be arranged for 10 <sup>th</sup> , 12 <sup>th</sup> or 13 <sup>th</sup> November to discuss the above and timeline.	
<u>Medical Facilities</u> NF to arrange further Zoom meeting with Dr Hunter and Julie Knights to finalise their needs.	NF

<u>Car Park (near station)</u> PH has already met the landowner to discuss this proposal, the inclusion of some business units and access to the land. PH to talk to Liz Harvey to pool information and initiate further consultation including with Network Rail if possible.	PH
<u>Communications</u> PH to ask Hannah to send email to all WP members, with a delivery receipt, to inform them of the next WP Zoom meeting on 1 <sup>st</sup> December. Add: <i>'If we don't</i> <i>hear from you, we shall assume that you still wish to be involved with the Working</i> <i>Party'</i> . SG agreed that in order to hold longer Zoom meetings, we should install Google Meet or Microsoft Teams.	PH
Filing PH has set up 4 paper files for the NDP (2018-21) and will continue to file during the current lockdown under three categories: paper; computer; website.	
<u>Funding</u> Dave Tristram advised us to come back to him about now regarding further grant applications. NF to email him for advice on appropriate timing of these applications.	NF
3rd <sup>d</sup> meeting Tuesday 27 <sup>th</sup> October 2020 2.30 pm via Zoom  – present AL, PH, NF, BB	
<ul> <li>BB: in order to move forward the following information is needed:</li> <li>1. Recreation: How much land is needed and how can this be delivered?</li> <li>2. Housing: Will the NDP propose any housing over and above existing allocations and planning permissions?</li> <li>3. Employment: can the plan deliver the level of employment land specified in the Core Strategy (12 ha)?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>PH: <u>Recreation</u> – discussions are on-going re site by UBL which could provide all the land needed.</li> <li>BB: What is needed is a report which answers the following questions: <ul> <li>How much land is needed for what?</li> <li>How will it be delivered (funded)?</li> <li>What other locations have been considered? Alternative locations need to be assessed against agreed criteria (SWOT analysis perhaps) and that will provide the evidence for the proposed location.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Action: a document to be produced listing the various sites considered, a SWOT analysis and reasons for the eventual choice and how the facilities will be delivered.	
Ruth Jackson and Herefordshire Council should be consulted on the report. This	

is the kind of report Sam Banks will need to produce for the SEA	
<ul> <li><u>Employment</u> – 2 questions:</li> <li>Will the UBL site and allocation on the viaduct site produce the required amount of land?</li> <li>Have Heineken been consulted on proposals.</li> </ul>	
• A document is needed outlining locations considered, with a SWOT analysis, the reasons for the eventual choices and how they will be delivered. Foxley Tagg work on employment sites will be useful for this.	
NF to contact Paul Kinnaird about progress on plans for meeting with Heineken proposed before Covid lockdown.	NF
Housing: Agreed this iteration of the NDP will not include any new allocations for housing as the allocation In the existing plan was considered satisfactory.	
<u>Settlement Boundary</u> . The group considered 2 proposals for a boundary the first produced by CT, the second produced by Cllr Harvey proposing the inclusion of: adjacent green spaces for protection; future development sites beyond 2031 including facilities for the railway station and a canal basin.	
BB advised that: Sports and employment sites and land immediately to the west of the bypass – the river park (owned by Herefordshire Council which is a public green space) should be included in the boundary.	
If land is allocated (including for housing) there must be evidences showing how those sites were selected, that other sites have been considered and rejected (with a SWOT) analysis and how the proposals are going to be delivered/funded. Without this justification sites included within the boundary and allocated for specific uses could be challenged by a developer.	
Policies should be used rather than allocations to indicate facilities the town wants to support such as a canal basin, a car park for the station.	
The starting point for the boundary should be the old UDP boundary.	
BB to look at SWOT for previous settlement boundary and update it. BB to look at triangle of land off Old Pitcher roundabout in terms of allocation and at Ledbury Park in terms of designation when he receives the Scoping Report (see below0	BB BB
LSCA AL: need for summary LSCA as part of the evidence base referencing the	

Members: Cllr Phillip Howells (PH), Nicola Forde (NF), Ann Lumb (AL) Consultants: Bill Bloxsome (BB), Carly Tinkler (CT). Samantha Banks, Herefordshire Council (SB) WP = Working Party Office: Angie Price (the Clerk, AP) Action colour code: Red = still to do

baseline studies. BB: LSCA will be important in identifying which areas may fall into the protected zone proposed in the government's Planning White Paper. The report should identify the areas that serve to transition and link to the AONB and the Registered monument to the west. A landscape policy can cover these areas and also green gaps and proposed cycle footpath route along route of canal. Next steering group to discuss a short, generic LSCA to support the proposed settlement boundary and indicate future development based on CT's broad zoning plan.' Herefordshire Council Scoping Report – BB to chase Samantha Banks for this. It will include information about the requirement for documents to be accessible BB which will inform the format of documents placed on the website. Working Party Meeting 3 November agreed to cancel this following advice from the Deputy Town Clerk. Next Steering Group Meeting – 3 November at 3pm in Council offices. 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting 15<sup>th</sup> October 2020 Present AL, PH, NF 1. Minutes of SG Meeting of 10th September. These had been agreed/accepted by EDP Committee. Action points were further discussed, as follows. 2. Settlement Boundary It was agreed that the settlement boundary originally proposed should be extended, as suggested by Cllr Harvey, to include a wider area around the town to be protected. It was also agreed to seek Bill Bloxsome's view on this and send him the relevant maps. Also send him the draft letter to employers to seek his view on our approach to consultation at this stage. A Zoom meeting with Bill should be organised as soon as possible. PH PH to send BB Allocations plan and Settlement Boundary produced by Carly Tinkler and Cllr Harvey's broadbrush plan. 3. Review of LSCA Work. For the NDP meeting on the 30th September, Carly Tinkler had produced a settlement boundary for Ledbury and allocations or zoning plan for Ledbury West, PH accompanied by a key and explanatory notes. She had also sent an email to the SG: agreeing with the principles behind Cllr Harvey's settlement boundary and asking for clarification; asking for feedback on her proposed allocations; planning to 'pause' the technical LSCA work for the time being, because the new

government planning system may require a different approach.	
It was agreed that all these issues should be addressed . PH to send a holding email to Carly in the meantime.	
Work on the LSCA was discussed and it was agreed that there is still a short term need for at least a summary LSCA, linked to the baseline studies, as evidence to justify the settlement boundary.	
It was also agreed that any of the baseline studies which are incomplete, should be completed or edited, as necessary. CT to be asked to identify those that need editing and completing.	
4. Review Comms. Plan and Consultation Process.	
The flyer and letters to other groups will have to be reconsidered if the original settlement boundary is to be extended. This point should be discussed with Bill (as above).	PH/NF
Communication with NDP Working Party. There's a need to ensure that all members of the WP receive notification and relevant documents for forthcoming meetings. PH to send NF the list of emails, to put into simple format for the office. All members then to be mailed with fututre meeting dates and to ask if they want to remain on the list.	
5. Revisit Funding.	
Phillip to contact Dave Tristram about making the funding applications asap. Progress on filing both type of document will continue in the coming months.	РН
6. Filing System and Process.	
Phillip had produced a spread sheet to include all documents for physical filing (shelves on top floor of Town Council offices) and documents which must be online for the public and inspector to access.	
1 <sup>st</sup> Meeting Thursday 10 September 2020 – present PH, NF, AL CT, SB	Action
PH summarised position to date: pause March to July due to pandemic. Also work on Viaduct Appeal. Informal meeting held August 21 Cllr Harvey, Dave Tristram, Ian Singleton (Architect), PH & NF to re-assess the project in light of	
Covid 19 and the proposed changes to the planning system. CT: <u>LSCA</u> Following informal meeting in August proposal is to think in longer	
term, the LSCA should cover all uses in all zones rather than for residential and a bit of employment. Consensus emerging from research already done about what	

Members: Cllr Phillip Howells (PH), Nicola Forde (NF), Ann Lumb (AL) Consultants: Bill Bloxsome (BB), Carly Tinkler (CT). Samantha Banks, Herefordshire Council (SB) WP = Working Party Office: Angie Price (the Clerk, AP) Action colour code: Red = still to do

should go where in future Ledbury.

snould go where in future Leabury.	
Work done to date is enough evidence for settlement boundary and revision of	
NDP.	
CT to summarise what has been done and what needs to be done – a list of the	СТ
evidence to back up the plan. Agenda item for 1 <sup>st</sup> working party meeting 30	
September.	
SB: Evidence Base: Part of problem with previous settlement boundary was that	
the evidence was not available due to a transition in parish councillors and also a	
website change. The Inspector had no evidence to support the settlement	
boundary, particularly in the light of developer. In fact there probably was	
sufficient evidence it just wasn't available.	
SB: <u>Altered Context</u> . Going forward a number of things mean there is increased	
development pressure on Ledbury and the timetable and content of the revisions	
should be revised :	
a) restrictions to building in other parts of county (pollution in the Lugg) Ledbury	
(and Ross) are favoured locations	
b) the countryside is becoming popular as people moving out of cities as a	
consequence of the pandemic	
c) Herefordshire Council land supply is now 3.69	
d) the Planning White Paper changes the context completely. It proposes the	
national centralisation of development management policies. Zoning applied in	
local plans: growth, regenerate, protect. A focus on design. Everyone who can	
should respond to the consultation, the deadline is October 29 <sup>th</sup> . SB to circulate	
guide to response to parish councils.	
Developer's contribution also being altered - Section 106 – remaining but only site	
specific, there will also be a new nationally set levy.	
The government wants to see new local plans in place by the end of this	
parliament (May 2024) they give local government 30 months to make the new	
plans – this means that the proposed changes need to be in place by June 2021.	SB
If this all happens any town without a neighbourhood plan will be vulnerable after	
June 2021, therefore Ledbury urgently needs an effective NDP with a settlement	
boundary by June 2021. ie it needs to have reached Regulation 16.	
SB: Changes to the current plan should be only the essential ones:	
<ul> <li>Settlement boundary (refer to Herefordshire Council – Guide 20 – how to</li> </ul>	
draw a settlement boundary)	
<ul> <li>Employment site</li> </ul>	
Sports allocation	
Design Guide	
Green infrastructure	
Medical facilities	
Triangle of land proposals	
<ul> <li>Residential allocation – Market Street</li> </ul>	
The Inspector's report on the previous NDP gives direction to the changes	

Т

needed.	
Introduction to NDP should outline process 'This is the first stage, we are looking	
at zoning and the long term vision is to work proactively'. Timetable	
Work backwards from June 2021	
June 2021 Regulation 16	
January 2021 Regulation 14	
December 2020 LSCA/Zones completed	
November 2020 return and analysis of informal consultation on settlement	
boundary responses	
October 2020 – informal consultation:	
<ul> <li>circulation of settlement boundary consultation leaflet</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>letter to employers (town centre and industrial estates</li> </ul>	
articles in Ledbury Focus and Ledbury Reporter	
September 30 <sup>th</sup> – next Working Party meeting (followed by 3/11 and 1/12/20)	
CT: Potential to work with developers in future in proactive way – ie Ledbury is	
not against growth as long as the townspeople are in control.	
Consultation in light of Covid 19	
SB: no set piece presentation – possibly a video of exhibition with narrative	
If you have a static exhibition you will need to ticket and time it.	
Provide hard copies for the public – there's an additional £2,000 available with the	
Localities grant in recognition of the difficulties of consulting in a Covid 19	
situation.	
Agreed: informal consultation on the settlement boundary in October. Simple	NF
leaflet with explanation of aims of revision to NDP. Simple questions: do you	INI
agree yes/no. Do you have any comments? Name/Address. Email/website/tear	
off slip responses. Mail to all households. NF to draft and investigate costs of	
Royal Mail, using the Ledbury Focus.	
PH to find out if Olivia could help with leaflet design	PH
At the same time articles in Ledbury Reporter and Ledbury Focus.	
AL to revisit letter to employers – to be sent out at the same time as the leaflet	AL
with a focus on the settlement boundary	
CT to prepare draft settlement boundary for working party meeting on 30	OT
September	СТ
Reg 14 Consultation in January 2021 – to include outreach to hard to reach	00
groups. SB to provide list of statutory consultees and hard to reach groups.	SB
Funding	
Urgency to get some funding PH to talk to Dave this week, ask if Localities	PH
application can be done immediately.	
Core Strategy Review	
SB: This is continuing but (if White Paper proposals go through) will be called	
Local Plan. The evidence base will still be the required and HC is working on this.	
	I

Website and filing Steering Group meeting notes before Covid 19 lockdown to be consolidated/summarised and put on the website.	PH
Physical filing system to be put in place to correlate with online filing	
Working Party Agenda	
<ul> <li>draft settlement boundary – CT</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>LSCA summary of work so far and work to be completed</li> </ul>	СТ
project timetable - NF	
<ul> <li>draft consultation leaflet – NF/AL</li> </ul>	NF/AL
<ul> <li>Immediate tasks – decide on locations for medical/sport</li> </ul>	PH
• funding - PH	